Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/015,848	PAYNE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason M. Perilla	2611
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Jason M. Perilla</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James Dobrow</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 June 2007</u>	Time: <u>1PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, and 16		
Prior art documents discussed:	•	
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance. Part III. ✓ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)